

**Decision No:** 01104224

**Decision Title:** Grays Town Centre Regeneration Vision  
Recommendation 1.5 (i.e the exempt recommendations)

**Reason for making the Call-In\*:** The decision maker did not take the decision in accordance with the principles of decision making as set out in the Constitution (Article 13, paragraph 2.1). Specifically: e) The exempt nature of the report and recommendations regarding possible multi-million pound expenditure on the State cinema was largely unnecessary, contrary to the presumption in favour of openness. g) The proposed costs, which could be incurred in 13/14, are unbudgeted, and therefore any purchase is contrary to the Budget framework. This decision allows that purchase to happen without detailed figures being made available for scrutiny. f) The proposed use is unspecified, contrary to the requirement for clarity of aims and desired outcomes. b) The proposed spend, on one building, compared to the quoted figure of around £50 million spending on the entire Grays regeneration project, is contrary to the requirement for proportionality.

**The Alternative Proposal is\*:** That Overview and Scrutiny refer the decision to full council as it is outside the budget framework.  
Alternatively, refer the decision back to Cabinet with the following alternative recommendations:

- Recommendation 1.1 as written.
- Recommendation 1.2 as written up to “.. current owners”,
- a new recommendation 1.3 reading “agree that any decision to acquire the State Cinema should only be taken in a transparent way, once a full business case has been prepared, including costs of acquisition, conversion to an appropriate commercially viable use, and expected benefits.”

We are:

Any three non-executive members of the Council,

# THURROCK COUNCIL

Name: Cllr Phil Anderson

Daytime Telephone No: c/o Members Secretariat, 01375 366324

Email Address: panderson@thurrock.gov.uk

Address: c/o Civic Office

Would you like to make a statement or representation in respect of your objection?  
Yes

Date of Request: Friday 19<sup>th</sup> July 2013

	Signature of Objector	Name (in Block Capitals)	Address (in Block Capitals)
1		Cllr P Anderson	C/o Civic Offices
2	<i>P. Anderson</i>	Cllr R Gledhill	C/o Civic Offices
3	<i>Phil Anderson</i>	Cllr J Redsell	C/o Civic Offices
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			

**Re: Call-in - please approve**

Clr P Anderson

**Sent:** 19 July 2013 14:00

**To:** Gadsby, Ben

Dear Ben,

I confirm that I would like this call-in to be submitted in my name. Please can you add this confirmation to the other physical signatures and arrange for the call-in to be submitted.

Many thanks,

Kind regards,

Cllr Phil Anderson

07913 017173

phil@corringhamconservatives.com

(Sent from my BlackBerry mobile device)

---

**From:** Gadsby, Ben

**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2013 01:39 PM

**To:** Cllr P Anderson

**Subject:** Call-in - please approve

Hi Phil

As discussed, please see below the text of the call-in to be submitted in your name. If you could please confirm by reply you are happy to sign this, I will submit this afternoon.

Thanks,

**Decision**

**No:**

01104224

**Decision Title:** Grays Town Centre Regeneration Vision  
Recommendation 1.5 (i.e the exempt recommendations)

**Reason for making the Call-in\*:** The decision maker did not take the decision in accordance with the principles of decision making as set out in the Constitution (Article 13, paragraph 2.1). Specifically: e) The exempt nature of the report and recommendations regarding possible multi-million pound expenditure on the State cinema was largely unnecessary, contrary to the presumption in favour of openness. g) The proposed costs, which could be incurred in 13/14, are unbudgeted, and therefore any purchase is contrary to the Budget framework. This decision allows that purchase to happen without detailed figures being made available for scrutiny. f) The proposed use is unspecified, contrary to the requirement for clarity of aims and desired outcomes. b) The proposed spend, on one building, compared to the quoted figure of around £50 million spending on the entire Grays regeneration project, is contrary to the requirement for proportionality.

**The Alternative Proposal is\*:** That Overview and Scrutiny refer the decision to full council as it is outside the budget framework.

Alternatively, refer the decision back to Cabinet with the following alternative recommendations:

- Recommendation 1.1 as written.
- Recommendation 1.2 as written up to "... current owners",
- a new recommendation 1.3 reading "agree that any decision to acquire the State Cinema should only be taken in a transparent way, once a business case has been prepared, including costs of acquisition, conversion to an appropriate commercially viable use, and expected benefit"

**Ben Gadsby | Political Assistant to Conservative Group**

[www.thurrock.gov.uk](http://www.thurrock.gov.uk) | e [bgadsby@thurrock.gov.uk](mailto:bgadsby@thurrock.gov.uk) | t 01375 652428 | m 07717 856261

Thurrock Council, Civic Offices, New Road, Grays Essex, RM17 6SL

Thurrock: A place of opportunity, enterprise and excellence, where individuals, communities and businesses flourish.

Before printing, think about the environment